

Another EMC resource from EMC Standards

Measuring the fMAX of Integrated Circuits

Helping you solve your EMC problems

1 Brassey Road, Old Potts Way, Shrewsbury, SY3 7FA T:+44 (0) 1785 660 247 E:info@emcstandards.co.uk

## Measuring the $f_{MAX}$ of Integrated Circuits

Keith's 75<sup>th</sup> Blog, 2<sup>nd</sup> November 2023

A great deal of good, quick, cost-effective EMC design depends upon the highest frequency at which ICs can cause EMC problems, which is constantly increasing due to developments in semiconductor manufacturing [1].

As well as needing to be taken into account in new projects, these developments can cause serious EMC problems for products that are manufactured for more than 2 years or so. We might not care, if not for the fact that – *in real life* – products that don't comply with the relevant standards listed under the EMC Directive tend to have increased warranty claims and worse customer experiences [2], perhaps leading to reduced market share.

When a new IC manufacturing process is qualified, most ICs 'migrate' to it, to achieve higher yields to increase the profitability of their manufacturers. However, these important changes are only indicated by the batch numbers of the ICs, and – although the 'new-process' ICs may need improvements to a product's EMC design – we can't get any to test until about 6 months before the older versions cease being made, a timescale that has cost some companies tens of thousands of Pounds, even threatened them with bankruptcy.

My training courses [3] [4] often rely on knowing the highest frequency at which ICs can cause EMC problems, which I call  $f_{MAX}$  and section 1.18 in [5] has a detailed discussion of this concept. **But how can we discover this important IC information?** 



Figures 1 - 4 show quick, D-I Y, measurement results of  $f_{MAX}$  for three ICs and one module.



Blog #75: Measuring the  $f_{MAX}$  of Integrated Circuits

We would prefer device manufacturers to apply at least one EMC test method for radiated, and one for conducted, from both the IEC 61967 series (emissions) and the IEC 62132 series (immunity) listed below, and publish their results with the rest of their IC data.

However, if this data isn't available, most of these tests are relatively easy to do yourself – using low-cost EMC test gear and D-I-Y probes as described in [6].

IEC 61967: Integrated circuits – Measurement of electromagnetic emissions	
IEC 61967-1:2018,	General conditions and definitions,
	inc. test method comparison tables (Annex A),
	and the design of a standardised test board (Annex D)
IEC TR 61967-1-1:2015,	Near-field Scan Data Exchange Format
Radiated emissions	
IEC 61967-2:2005,	TEM Cell and Wideband TEM Cell method
IEC TS 61967-3:2014,	Surface Scan method
IEC 61967-8:2023,	IC Stripline method
Conducted emissions	
IEC 61967-4:2021,	1 ohm/150 ohm Direct Coupling method
IEC TR 61967-4-1:2005,	Application guidance to IEC 61967-4
IEC 61967-5:2003,	Workbench Faraday Cage method
IEC 61967-6:2002+A1:2008,	Magnetic Probe method

IEC 62132: Integrated circuits – Measurement of electromagnetic immunity	
IEC 62132-1:2015	General conditions and definitions,
	including test method comparison tables,
	and the design of a standardised test board
Radiated immunity	
IEC 62132-2:2010	TEM cell and wideband TEM cell method
IEC 62132-8:2012	IC stripline method
IEC TS 62132-9:2014	Surface scan method
<b>Conducted immunity</b>	
IEC 62132-4:2006	Direct RF power injection method
IEC 62132-5:2005	Workbench Faraday cage method

Note: 'close field' and 'near field' are not the same, but are often used interchangeably.

The Surface Scan method in IEC TS 61967-3 is similar to the one described in "**Cost Effective Uses of Close Field Probing**" [4]: with the spectrum analyser set to 'Max Hold', simply move a close-field RF probe around on the top of the functioning device to maximise the displayed spectrum measurement until it doesn't change anymore.

This can be done when the device is mounted on a demo board, or on a prototype PCB (as I did for Figures 1-4 above), when it is functioning as required, or in the worst-case(s) that might be required.

The official IEC method is much better controlled and produces a lot of useful data, not least for improving an IC's internal design.

But – when all we need to know is an IC's  $f_{MAX}$  – my much quicker approach, which can be done in most situations, is all that is really needed.

You may also be interested in:

IEC 62014-3:2002, Models of Integrated Circuits for EMI Behavioural Simulation

– and in:

## Basic Concepts in EMC for ICs, Springer, 1985,

https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/0-387-26601-1 1

## **References:**

- [1] <u>https://www.emcstandards.co.uk/moores-law-die-shrinks-and-cost-effective-emc</u>
- [2] https://www.emcstandards.co.uk/we-don-t-do-emc-for-the-sake-of-the-ce-markin
- [3] <u>https://www.emcstandards.co.uk/emcacademy</u>
- [4] <u>https://emcstandards-shop.fedevel.education/index.html</u>
- [5] <u>https://www.emcstandards.co.uk/the-physical-basis-of-si-pi-and-emc</u>
- [6] <u>https://www.emcstandards.co.uk/cost-effective-uses-of-close-field-probing1</u>